

FETAC Monitoring Report

Date of Completion

Aug 24th 2011

Contents

- 1. Details of Provider
- 2. Education and Training Centres & Locations
- 3. Scope of Monitoring
- 4. Evidence Reviewed
- 5. Monitors Observations
- 6. Recommendations
- 7. Conclusions and Outcomes
- 8. Provider feedback

Monitoring Report

1. DETAILS OF THE PROVIDER				
Name of Provider		Hughes Consultancy and Training FETAC reg 38774H		
Address		Unit 15A, Hebron Business Park, Kilkenny.		
Email Address		admin@hughestraining.ie		
Contact	Fiona Hughes	s Telephone 056 7712755		
and registered with in courses in Horti branched out into range of courses, manual handling a They moved to the expand into other As none of the cou months duration, F aware of their oblig and will notify FET		FETAC since e ulture and base ne soft skills are ncluding food sa nd care skills. r current locatio reas. rses currently o FL does not ap ations under se AC. pproved under	is a private limited company early 2010.Initally specializing ed in Kells, they have since ena and now offer a wide afety, health and safety, on to give them the ability to on offer are of greater than 3 ply, however the provider is action 43 should this change section 10 (RPL) and hence	

	1
Forms of Programme Delivery	They have 7 full time staff, and 1 full time tutor who also acts as lead assessor. Fiona Brennan is the FETAC coordinator and
	Claire is the training coordinator.
	This year to date, over 500 learners have attended/are
	attending HCT programmes. Over 300 learner results were
	submitted (306) in the June certification period, with 100% pass
	rate.
	They have a panel of 81 tutors based nationwide who provide
	training programmes, with 2 dedicated sales staff based in
	Kilkenny. The 2 directors (Julian Hughes and Colette McColgan)
	provide tutor induction training (3-3.5 hours duration) and also
	conduct all assessment.
	Tutors are provided with course material and assessment briefs
	including model answers and marking schemes.
	Fiona carries out all internal verification of assessment results
	and there is a panel of external authenticators, depending on
	subject matter.
	Programmes are generally classroom based with outdoor/workshop facilities provided as appropriate. At present,
	a large proportion of learners come from CE schemes
	countrywide.
	External facilities are chosen based on facilities criteria that are
	centrally maintained. Many of the horticultural programmes are
	very practical and hands-on and the associated projects are
	community based.
	HCT at present provide 30 minor awards and 1 major
	(Horticulture).
	Discussion took place re the importance of the particular need
	for consistency of assessment across assessors and to national
	standards in the new care skills programmes on offer.

Location(s)	Approx no Learners	FETAC Awards /Programmes
Multiple locations nationwide and centrally managed from Head Office, Kilkenny	800 pa	Level 6: L32274 Skills for Trainers (minor) L32199 Supervisory Management (minor) E30179 Train the Trainer (minor)
		Level 5: CASHX Horticulture (major) D20163 Care Skills (new: minor) D20164 Care Support (new: minor) D20180 Care of the Older Person (new: Minor) L22559 Challenging Behaviour (minor) D300005 Child Development (minor) G200001 Communications (minor) D20151 Customer Service (minor) C20024 Food Processing (minor) N22795 Food nSaftey and HACCP (minor) N12958 Home Repairs and Maintenance (minor) C20194 Landscape Construction & Maintenance (minor) L22384 Machinery Operation & Maintenance (minor) C20140 Nutrition (minor)
		D20188 Occupational First Aid (minor) D20170 Palliative Care Support (new: minor) N22792 Pesticide Handling & Application (minor) C20190 Plant ID &Use (minor) C20049 Plant Science (minor) B20149 Reception Skills (minor) B20037 Retail Selling (minor) D20165 Safety & Health at Work (minor) D20055 Soil Science &Growing Media (minor) B20153 Start Your Own Business (minor) W20008 Work Experience (minor) Level 4: N12956 Domestic Plumbing (minor) C10201 Plant Caire & Maintenance (minor) A10203 Woodcraft (minor) N12954 Tiling

3. SCOPE OF MONITORING		
Outline areas reviewed in the course of n	nonitoring	
Monitoring included all areas of the provider's QA process. Particular emphasis was placed on the most recent IV, EA and RAPs which took place prior to June 2011 certification .		
Type of Visit Undertaken	Initial ✓	Follow-Up
Monitor Reference	E008	

4. EVIDENCE REVIEWED

Outline of meetings and discussions held with provider Monitoring activity comprised of a conference meeting which was held on August 24th at the Roscrea headquarters. 2 HCT directors and FETAC coordinator were at this meeting.

FETAC Monitoring of Quality Assurance

Evidence reviewed during site visit:

- Hughes Consultancy and Training (HCT) website
- HCT QA Manual (all policies and procedures)
- Evidence arising from same
- Verification visit report
- Computerised training database
- Hard Copy learner records
- Learner handbook/pack which contains details of assessment methodology
- Learner feedback forms
- Tutor contracts.CV's
- Tutor Job specifications
- Tutor reports
- Tutor handbook/pack containing marking scheme.
- SE checklist report
- Centre SE reports (focus only Landscape Level 5)
- Centre PIPs
- Desk Monitoring report (Landscape Level 5)
- Evidence of June 2011 authentication including IV, EA and RAP
- PFL arrangements

Observation of Facilities and Resources

The head office at Hebron Business Park is an administrative centre which manages all programme design, development and delivery. All assessment is coordinated from this centre with all IV carried out here. Facilities are rented as needed nationwide and according to set criteria depending on the type of programme being offered. These criteria are logged and monitored at the administrative centre.

All learners are logged on to a central training database which includes PPS # and DOB. This is excel driven and cross references all relevant hard copy files.

A separate worksheet is maintained on this database for every training programme.

5. MONITOR OBSERVATIONS		
	Observations on good practice and areas for improvement	
B1. Communications	 B1.1 Communications with Staff All staff undergo induction training by the center staff and are given a tutor handbook outlining assessment methodology. Staff have mid course and end of course tutor feedback forms and attend briefing sessions at the centre. B1.2 Communications with Learners Communication methods include a very comprehensive website (see below for recommendations for improvement) learner pack, learner application form, newsletter, etc Learner feedback reports are reviewed at the end of each programme. B1.3 Communications with Other Stakeholders Many of the learners participating in HCT programmes come from CE schemes and many of the projects undertaken by students are community based. 	
B2. Equality	 B1.1 Equality Training Equality training is ongoing and records produced. B1.2 Equality Planning Evidence reviewed of equality planning. Equality audit reports on file 	
B3. Staff Recruitment and Development	HCT has a panel of 81 tutors which are all self employed. HCT conducts induction training for all tutors and each tutor is given a tutor pack which includes course material, assessment methodology assessment techniques and model answers. HCT is committed to CPD and tutor training was reviewed as having taken place as late as 03/11.	
B4. Access, Transfer and Progression	Learner application form includes questions relating to special facilities. HCT would like to offer major awards allowing learners who have accumulated	

[opough gradite via their minor gwards to progress
	enough credits vis their minor awards to progress.
<u>B5. Programme Development,</u> <u>Delivery and Review</u>	Validation forms reviewed which included management approval sign off. Development methodology includes preparation of a delivery/ lesson plan and assessment plan for each programme. Tutor notes are produced which include assessment brief, exam sample
B6. Fair and Consistent Assessment of Learners	Coordinated Planning of Assessment : Assessment is centrally managed by the centre in Kilkenny through the HCT tutors, with Internal Verification carried out by the FETAC coordinator (Fiona Brennan) at the centre.
	Assessment plans are in evidence Information to Learners: The website is used to inform learners re programmes on offer but it also should contain details of course assessment methodology. HCT Learner pack contains details of assessment methodology and % breakdown between exam, skills demo and project Security Secure processes are in place for issuing assessment instructions to assessors. A central database ensures that all information is readily retrievable and this is backed up daily. Each record is also date referenced. Reasonable Accommodation In the learner application form, learners are asked to outline specific support requirements and these are accommodated where possible. An 'Outside Venue Checklist' is maintained and was available for review. Consistency of Marking Between Assessors Assessment plans, methodologies and techniques are outlined in the tutor pack and internal verification is carried out centrally. No changes were made to any grades by the EA in June which suggests that the instructions were clear. Third Party Assessment No third party assessment is undertaken by the provider at present. This may have to reviewed in the future for the healthcare programmes. Learner records were audited for June 2011 submission. IV reports, EA report and RAP report were X referenced using central training database. B6.7.1 Internal Verification There was good evidence of a robust internal

	Section and the second section of the second seco
	verification process
	B6.7.2 External Authentication
	2 External Authenticator reports were reviewed
	which portrayed different standards of reporting. It
	was agreed that the more detailed feedback was of
	more benefit to HCT in carrying out continuous
	improvement
	B6.8 Feedback to Learners
	Learners are informed of their results as soon as
	they are available.
	B6.9 Appeals
	A timeline of 14 days is allowed for learners to
	appeal their results.
	B6.10 Results Approval
	There was good evidence of best practice
	throughout the assessment processes.
	Sampling was in line with FETAC requirements
	across all the components reviewed.
	The RAP report showed zero changes in 306
	submissions in June 2011 certification.
	B6.11 Corrective Action Plan
	Tutor feedback reports, SE reports and learner
	feedback reports are all reviewed and evidence
	exists of CAs and feedback to tutors to amend
	delivery styles, etc.
	PFL contracts exist for Horticulture (2: Pearse
B7. Protection for Learners	College in Dublin and the Teagasc college at the
	National Botanic Gardens)and Food Science (only
	1: Our Lady's Secondary School, Belmullet)
B8. Sub-contracting/Procuring	HCT does not subcontract any of its programmes to
Programme Delivery	other providers
Programme Denvery	
B9 Self Evaluation of Programmes	Evidence of a Self Evaluation and consequent PIP
and Services	was reviewed which focused on the Horticultural
	programme area.
	A further SE is planned for Sept 2011 focussing on
	the Train the Trainer programme, while Home
	repair and Maintenance will be the focus of a self
	evaluation in Jan 2012.
B10. Recognition of Prior Learning	Not applicable
	1

6. Overall QA System – Conclusions

Good practice	HCT is managed centrally from the of 15A Hebron Business Park Kilkenny professional approach to the delivery training programmes is evident with to best practice in the area of credibi- via the processes of internal verificat external authentication of assessment commitment to continuous improvem evident via the planning of ongoing so of programmes and services Much effort has been put into the mar robust QA system and the developm computerised database for the maint retrieval of training data. This is to be	A y of quality a commitment lity of awards tion and nt results. A nent is also self evaluation aintenance of a nent of a tenance and
Areas for Improvement	The provider must:	By this date
6.1 Essential		
6.2 Developmental	The provider should consider	

FETAC Monitoring of Quality Assurance

7. Monitoring Outcomes	
Conclusion (highlighted)	 Provider effectively implementing quality assurance Provider effectively implementing quality assurance with minor areas for improvement Provider moderately effective with a number of essential remedial areas for improvement Provider quality assurance not effective with critical remedial areas
Required further actions	